

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,105	11/26/2003	Kazumitsu Honda	43888-283	6200
75	590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.			NGUYEN, HA T	
	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		10/721,105	HONDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Ha T. Nguyen	2812			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	:				
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
l	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<i>i</i> **				
I	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
	are subject to restriction and o	· cicouom requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/158,131.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			<del></del>			
Attachmen	t(e)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	e of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-26-3</u> .	6)  Other:				
U.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R		tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0105			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,105

Art Unit: 2812

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 13, deletion of "both of" and in line 19, substitution of "bond" with --bonds-- are suggested for clarity/correctness. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC. § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103 □ and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikitani et al.(JP 08-295711, hereinafter "Nishikitani") in view of Kanbara et al. (USPN 5900182, hereinafter "Kanbara").

Referring to translated Purpose, Nishikitani discloses a method of making a solid electrolyte having excellent ionic conductivity, the electrolyte is made from a starting liquid of polymer electrolyte composite comprising a mixture of: an electrolyte solution comprising a polar solvent and a solute comprising an alkali metal salt and/ or an alkaline earth metal salt; a first monomer of at least one of a group of monofunctional monomers of acrylic derivatives each

having at least one hydroxyl group at a terminal thereof (when R4=H) and a polymerizable unsaturated double bond; and a second monomer of at least one of a group of multifunctional monomers of acrylic derivatives each having plural polymerizable unsaturated double bonds; curing said starting liquid of said polymer electrolyte composite. It is inherent that some of the moisture from the air would react with the salt to make the corresponding acid.

But it fails to disclose expressly the forming of an electrolytic capacitor comprising: a step of making a capacitor precursory body comprising an anode foil, a cathode foil and a separator sandwiched between said anode and said cathode foils; a step of impregnating a starting liquid of a polymer electrolyte composite to said capacitor precursory body, thereby to make a starting electrolytic capacitor element.

However, the missing limitations are well known in the art because Kanbara discloses these features (See Example 5).

A person of ordinary skill is motivated to modify Nishikitani with Kanbara to obtain an electrolytic capacitor with high ionic conductivity.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Nishikitani with Kanbara to obtain the invention as specified in claim 13.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ha T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM, except the first Friday of each bi-week. The telephone number for Wednesday is (703) 560-0528.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt, can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/721,105

Art Unit: 2812

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ha Nguyen

Primary Examiner

1-26-05